

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/788,443	788,443 02/21/2001		Yoshiyuki Suda	018842.1163	5233
24735	7590	07/22/2002			
BAKER BO			EXAMINER		
THE WARN	ER, SUI		DONOVAN, LINCOLN D		
1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ŕ			2832	
				DATE MAILED: 07/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Me

Application No. 09/788,443

App.__int(s)

Suda

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Lincoln Donovan

Art Unit **2832**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
	for Reply					
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM				
	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing	g date of this communication.					
- If NO	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
	e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the oply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	* *				
earned	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	, , , , ,				
Status	Description of the second section of the second sec					
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>May 14, 2</u>					
2a) 🗶	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action					
3) ∐	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
-	ition of Claims					
4) [X	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>3 and 5-19</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 2, and 4	is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	ation Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved, b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) 🕽	x All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:					
	1. $\[\]$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.				
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	re been received in Application No				
	application from the International Burea					
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·				
14) 🗆						
	The translation of the foreign language provisiona					
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachm						
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Uther:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, applicant should clarify the connector portion projection and its relationship to the surface of the ring member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by applicant's admitted prior art of figures 1-6 (AAPA, hereinafter).

AAPA discloses an electromagnet assembly [figures 1-6] comprising:

-a ring member [1] formed of a tubular spool with a pair of annular flanges;

Art Unit: 2832

- a coil member [3];
- a ring case [4] having an annular groove with an open edge of which is in a direction parallel to its axis in which the coil member is disposed;
 - an opening [4a] formed through the ring case adjacent to a closed end surface thereof; and
- a connector [5], connecting an external wire [6a, 6b] to the coil, mounted within and engaging the opening.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2, as best able to be understood in view of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Ishimaru.

AAPA disclose the instant claimed invention except for: the connector being pressed into an opening of a magnet support member.

Ishimaru discloses a connector being pressing into an opening of a magnet support member.

Application/Control Number: 09/788,443

Art Unit: 2832

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to press the member of AAPA into the opening, as suggested by Ishimaru, for the purpose of

securing the member in place.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 4 have been considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS

from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 4

Page 5

Art Unit: 2832

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is (703) 308-3111.

The fax number for this Group is (703)-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

LDD

July 18, 2002

PRIMARY: P 2100